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Abstract

This paper provides an overview of the impact that social media has on the development of collaborative learning within a cohort environment in a doctoral program. The researchers surveyed doctoral students in an education program to determine how social media use has influenced the doctoral students. The study looked at the following areas: a) the ability of social media use to develop a collaborative learning environment, b) access to social media content which supports learning, and c) whether social media use has contributed to the enhancement of the doctoral students’ academic achievement and learning progress. As social media use and online learning become more prevalent in education, it is important to continue to understand the impact that social media has on improving students’ ability to achieve their academic goals. This study provides insight on how doctoral students used social media and how social media use has influenced academic development in their cohort environment. In addition, this paper provides a discerning view into the role social media plays when developing a collaborative learning environment in a cohort.
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Introduction

In the quest to understand the influence of media and its benefits on education, researchers have historically looked at media as one component of learning and achievement with mixed reactions. As the concept of media evolved to include the phenomenon known as social media, studies have focused on this one particular aspect of media. Faraj, Jarvenpaa, and Majchrzak (2011) assert that there is a shift from centralization to decentralization of social media. This shift has allowed the knowledge sharing process to move from users consciously populating pre-constructed repositories to emergent knowledge contributions while unplanned connections evolve as individuals use social media to share knowledge (p. 40).

This research study began as a group project in the Disciplined Inquiry I & II courses of the Wilmington University, Doctorate of Education program. The
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evolving interest in examining the influence of social media on learning and the building of learning communities prompted four of the students at Wilmington University to develop the assignment into a research study. The study investigated the influence of social media on building collaborative learning communities. The research team conceptualized that the study would identify and reinforce the usage and purposes of social media (sharing documents, team building, sharing information, resources, and teaching others). The team also wanted to fulfill a component of the Organizational Leadership Professional Learning Community, established in their EDD 7000 course, Experiential Learning: Leadership Issues, by exporting cohort collegiate collaborative learning to new cohorts through a connection between social media and collaborative learning.

The study addressed three research questions:

1. How does the use of social media affect collaborative learning in a doctoral cohort environment?
2. Are there significant differences between cohort groups regarding social media accessibility?
3. To what extent do doctoral students think social media has an impact on their grades?

The research team defined social media as “Web based services that allow individuals to construct a public or semi-public profile within a bounded system, articulate a list of other users with whom they share a connection, and view and traverse their list of connections and those made by others within the system” (Boyd & Ellison, 2007, p. 211). The definition for “collaborative learning” was as an instructional method in which students at various performance levels work together in small groups toward a common goal. The students are, in part, responsible for facilitating each other’s learning and their own. Thus, the success of one student helps other students to be successful (Gokhale, 1995). The research team defined cohort as “…a small group of learners who complete an entire program of study as a single unit” (Lawrence, 2002, p. 83). At Wilmington University, the Ed.D program cohorts are defined by track (OL, EL, & HL) and geographic location (Dover, Georgetown and New Castle).

Literature Review

Although there is an increasing amount of literature about the use and effectiveness of social media in higher education, it is still an emerging area of study with much to explore. Selwyn (2011) suggests that educators have traditionally been in the role of playing catch up when it comes to technology. The study notes that educators must consider, not if, but how they plan to include social media platforms into their current systems. Studying the effects of the virtual learning environment (VLE) for engineering students at Riyadh College of Technology in Saudi Arabia, BenSalah, Benselama, and Hennache (2009) posit that there is an ease of access to information in virtual learning but ultimately conclude, “There is no doubt that nothing will replace synchronous learning through face to face interaction but it is not always feasible for students to attend conventional classes” (p.69). Friedman and Friedman (2013) cite evidence that online learning or partial online learning can produce the same or better results as traditional, classroom-style learning.

Studies examining student perceptions of social media use within the academic environment offer varied results. A study conducted at Bournemouth University in six academic schools found that the use and application of social media differed amongst the different academic disciplines, where the Media School and the School of Tourism adopted much wider use of social media than did The Business School or The School of Applied Sciences (Kalashyan et al., 2013). McCarthy (2010) studied Facebook as an academic tool to blend virtual and real classroom environments for first year students at the University of Adelaide. This blending was observed in the study findings.
as responsible for “…increased peer interaction and academic engagement, two key factors in a positive first year experience” (McCarthy, 2010, p. 738). YouTube, as an educational enhancement, was studied by Buzzetto-More (2014). The researcher conducted a study of YouTube use in the learning environment, surveying 221 students at an eastern Maryland college. The study found that YouTube enhanced instruction, especially by students who prefer on-line courses. The study also concluded that mobile devices were most likely used in accessing the videos and that video length was also a determinant of use; however, frequency of social media use was not a determinant of student acceptance of YouTube for academic use (Buzzetto-More, 2014).

The use of Twitter in the educational environment was shown to engage both students and faculty “into a more active and participatory role” (Junco, Heilbergert, & Loken, 2010, p. 119). Although the study concluded that Twitter had a positive effect on engagement in students, it did not find the use of Twitter to have any effect on grades (Junco et al., 2010). Stollak, Vandenber, Burklund, and Weiss (2011) studied the impact of social media use and grades, finding that students with smart phones spent more time engaged in social media but that there was not a negative relationship between GPA and social media use.

Beyond the effect social media may have on student grades or student perceptions, there is also the question of its impact in a collaborative learning environment. A study conducted at the University of Seville in Spain analyzing the influence of social media networks in collaborative learning found there was no relationship between the two constructs (Lopez-Bonilla & Lopez-Bonilla, 2013) but it was noted that this was an exploratory study and more research was needed. Studying the impact of social media on student engagement, Rutherford (2010) invited students in a pre-service teacher education program to complete an online survey that mirrored the National Survey of Student Engagement, a well-known instrument. The findings noted, “There was a positive correlation between the frequency of student use of social media and their relationships with their peers and instructors as well as how they describe the overall quality of instruction and the pre-service program” (Rutherford, 2010, p. 10). However, results did not indicate a significant relationship between frequency of social media use to discuss or complete school assignments (Rutherford, 2010).

**Methodology**

This study focused on the use of social media in the development of a cohort learning environment at Wilmington University in the Doctorate of Education program. The researchers wanted to study whether the doctoral cohorts were using any forms of social media in their interaction with each other, and if social media added value in meeting their academic requirements. The intent of the study was to provide valuable research on the use of social media and its impact on cohort success to future doctoral students and Wilmington University. The study was designed to answer the following research questions:

1. How does the use of social media impact collaborative learning in a doctoral cohort environment?
2. Are there significant differences between cohort groups regarding social media accessibility?
3. To what extent do doctoral students think social media has an impact on their grades?

**Research Design**

The survey (see the Appendix) was designed to gather both quantitative and qualitative data on how Ed.D doctoral students at Wilmington University use social media. Likert Scale questions were developed, with some open-ended questions included. The data collected looked at varia-
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bles, which included age, gender, location, and doctoral track. There were some thematic ques-
tions posed to investigate the attitudes towards social media, collaborative learning, and compara-
tive differences. The researchers worked collaboratively to develop a survey. The team met twice a week, via WebEx and GoToMeeting for several months to develop the questions. These ques-
tions were then reviewed, discussed, and after several iterations, a total of 47 questions were cre-
ted. The researchers created the survey using the electronic survey tool Google Forms. The re-
searchers piloted the survey to twelve (12) students in one program, in one location, and in one cohort (OL Program, Wilmington, Cohort 24). In addition, the survey questions were presented to the program chairperson to get feedback on the reliability and the validity of the survey.

There were 229 students enrolled in the Wilmington University Ed.D program, which consisted of 151 women and 78 men from Cohorts 22, 23, and 24. The 229 students represent all the students in the Educational Leadership, Higher Educational Leadership, and Organizational Leadership and Innovation tracks for the Ed.D program. In addition, this number also represented all the students on each of the three campuses – Dover, Georgetown, and New Castle – where the Ed.D program is housed. Cohort 22 began their doctoral studies in 2012, Cohort 23 in 2013, and Cohort 24 in 2014. With the assistance of the administrator for the Ed.D program, the survey was distrib-
uted, via email, to all the doctoral students in Cohorts 22, 23, and 24. The students were provided with an incentive for their participation. In the email distribution, the participants were informed that everyone was eligible to win one of five Amazon gift cards at $20 per person. The researchers later increased the incentive to six winners, with two at each of the three locations where the program was offered.

The data were analyzed using SPSS, descriptive statistics, and correlational statistics. In the original analysis, the researchers determined that the Likert scale that was originally developed had too many categories to enable the researches to form conclusions with the data. The researchers decided to collapse the data categories to provide a better review of the data. The categories went from five to three – (1) strongly agree/agree, (2) neutral, and (3) strongly disagree/disagree. This provided the researchers with results that will be discussed in the results section of this paper.

Wilmington University’s Human Subject Review Committee granted the researchers permission to conduct the study. Professors who were teaching the various participants at the time the study was being conducted were asked to encourage the members of their classes to participate. This study was done in conjunction with two course requirements for the Ed.D. Program, and was overseen by the Chair of the Ed.D program’s Organizational Leadership and Innovation track, Dr. Lynne Svenning.

Results

Table 1 outlines the demographics of the survey participants by gender, age, marital status, co-
hort, track, and location. Two hundred twenty-nine (229) were invited to participate in the study. One hundred nineteen (119) students responded (response rate 52%), of which seventy-one per-
cent (71%) of respondents were female students. Eighty percent (80%) of the participants classified their relationship status as living with someone or in a shared household, only thirteen per-
cent (13%) were single or in non-shared household.
Table 1. Demographics of Survey Participants (n=119)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (N = 35)</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (N = 84)</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-44 (N = 64)</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45+ (N = 55)</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relationship Status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared household</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-shared household</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cohort</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 (N = 49)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 (N = 33)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 (N = 37)</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Track</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Leadership (EL)</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization &amp; Innovation Leadership (OL)</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Education Leadership (HL)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Campus Location</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Castle</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgetown</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dover</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 lists the different social media tools and the percent of use for each social media tool. Online meeting tools (WebEx/GoToMeeting), Wikis, Instagram, and Twitter were the most rarely used social media tools. Only 20% of the respondents used them sometimes or frequently while 80% rarely used them. Conversely, Blackboard and YouTube were social media tools that were either sometimes or frequently used, with a combined total of sixty percent (60%) in this category.

Table 2. Social Media Use by Cohort in Program (Survey Questions 7-17)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Media Tools</th>
<th>Do you use any of the following social media?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rarely %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google+</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackboard</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online Meeting tools (WebEx/GoToMeeting)</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wikis</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skype</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YouTube</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LinkedIn</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (e.g., Pinterest, Vine, Tumblr, WhatsApp)</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Influence of Social Media on Collaborative Learning

**Research Results**

*Research Question 1: How does the use of social media impact collaborative learning in a doctoral cohort environment?*

Social Media’s impact on collaborative learning was assessed by multiple survey response questions that thematically fell into the collaboration and teamwork category. A chi-square test of independence was performed to examine the differences between Cohorts 22, 23 and 24 in relationship to their perceived response on listed questions on Table 3. The findings within the cohorts indicated that over sixty percent (60%) of all respondents agreed that social media made it easier to share work with their team members and there was a significant difference ($X^2$ [4, N = 119] = 9.96, $p < 0.05$).

**Table 3. Chi-Square Test Analysis for Social Media and Collaboration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey Question</th>
<th>$X^2$ Test Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Social media sites make it easier to share work with team members</td>
<td>$X^2$ (4, N = 119) = 9.96, $p &lt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Social media usage is an essential part of developing a collaborative learning communities</td>
<td>$X^2$ (4, N = 119) = 13.36, $p &lt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. My use of social media as a collaborative tool has increased my access to information</td>
<td>$X^2$ (4, N = 119) = 14.80, $p &lt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Social Media increases my engagement in the collaborative learning process.</td>
<td>$X^2$ (4, N = 119) = 15.00, $p &lt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Collaborative learning would be impacted if social media was not utilized</td>
<td>$X^2$ (4, N = 119) = 14.37, $p &lt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were significant differences in determining whether social media usage is an essential part of developing a collaborative learning community. Sixty-eight percent (68%) of the Cohort 24, forty-two percent (42%) of Cohort 23 and twenty-nine percent (29%) of Cohort 23 agreed social media develops collaborative learning. Similarly, when we examined the relationship between the track and the ease social media provides to share work with the team members the relationship was also significant, $X^2$ (4, N = 119) = 10.15, $p < 0.05$. Seventy-seven percent (77%) of the subgroup of OL track students in all three cohorts agreed that social media allows participants to share academic work.

*Research Question 2: Are there significant differences between cohort groups regarding social media accessibility?*

Seventy-three percent (73%) of respondents agreed that social media was accessible and cost effective. However, there was some significant difference within the groups $X^2$ (3, N = 119) = 11.04, $p < 0.05$. Sixty-five percent (65%) of the Cohort 24, Eighty-five percent (85%) of Cohort 23 and Seventy-one percent (71%) of Cohort 22 agreed that social media was cost effective. Chi-square tests were also used to determine the relationship between the cohorts. Similarly, the same result was evident on the analysis of social media’s ability to provide information that may not be as quickly accessible by other means, the relationship was also deemed significant, $X^2$ (4, N = 119) = 12.57, $p < 0.05$. 
In examining the relationship between age and the cost effectiveness (cheaper access) of social media, over seventy percent (70%) of the participants agreed that social media is cost effective. Eighty-percent (80%) of the participants between ages 44 and below agreed social media is cost effective compared to Sixty-six percent (66%) of the participants between ages 45 and above.

Research Question 3: To what extent do doctoral students think social media has an impact on their grades?

The respondents were asked their perception of whether social media had a direct impact on their grades (See the Appendix). Fifty-six percent (56%) disagreed with the question that social media has had a direct impact on their grades. The relationship between the cohorts was significant $X^2 (4, N = 119) = 10.53, p < 0.05$.

The open-ended responses posited two questions:

Question 1: Describe how social media provides information that enhances your learning as a doctoral student?

Eighty-eight (88) open-ended responses were received, of which, seventy-seven percent (77%) of the participants felt that social media enhanced their learning experience as doctoral students.

Comments

Enhanced

“Social Media helps to communicate across boundaries”

“Social Media provides connection and encouragement”

Not-Enhanced

“Social Media is not an educational tool”

“Social Media has no impact on my studies”

Question 2: Describe how the use of Social Media within your cohort has enhanced the development of the collaborative learning community.

Eighty-six (86) open-ended responses were received, of which fifty-eight percent (58%) felt Social Media enhanced collaborative learning communities.

Comments

Enhanced

“Social media has allowed me to work within my small group on projects at a more effective time and location. By that I mean, that instead of having to schedule a phone conference or an additional face to face meeting in our already busy schedules, we can collaborate in real-time by scheduling a convenient meeting time (usually the middle of the night), or we can share our materials and then comment at our own convenience. Drop articles for consideration. Stay in touch via smartphone, Surveys, Calendar programs. Shout outs for assistance. Support. Social aspects.”

Not-Enhanced

“I think it has had the opposite effect because some members are not comfortable with it, and some members are attempting to force members to use it when they don’t want to.”
Discussion

The study produced results on the impact that social media has on the development of collaborative learning within a cohort environment in a doctoral program. The study revealed that the doctoral students perceived that social media had no significant impact on academic success. However, social media did provide students a platform to share ideas efficiently. Junco et al. (2011) had similar findings that student engagement was positive but students did not perceive a positive impact on academic success. Despite, the perception that social media had little impact on academic success, what was unique about the doctoral students is the selection of the social media they used. The doctoral students did find it beneficial to use social media that had some meaningful relationship with their academic work. Blackboard, which is Wilmington University’s Learning Management System (LMS) and YouTube, which offers both academic and entertainment content, were prevalent among students. Students reported that these two social media forums enhanced their learning and understanding.

Amongst the cohorts, the most recent (newest) cohort, Cohort 24, was more engaged in social media than Cohorts 22 and 23. Research has continued to indicate past experiences with social media or technology affects the perceptions about technology in general (Burke & Moore, 2003). Since the technology influx is the norm in today’s world, access to social media was a non-issue among all the doctoral students who participated in the research.

Conclusion

In this study, the use of social media within a cohort group was reported by students to enhance the ability of the cohort to collaborate and share information. Social media was also seen as an effective learning tool. Newer cohorts are using social media more frequently than the older cohorts. However, social media was not seen as a tool that enhances student grades or academic achievement even though it worked well as a collaborative tool. If social media is able to enhance collaboration among cohort members, perhaps its value need not rest in grade enhancement or academic achievement. Lawrence (2002) suggests that, “Co-creating knowledge through collaborative learning and experiential knowing is identified as an important outcome of cohort learning” (p. 83). Perhaps some of social media’s strength lies in its ability to create additional opportunities for learners to gather and share.

Limitations of the Research

This study was conducted across three distinct programs (OL, HL, and EL) within the Doctorate of Education program in the College of Education at Wilmington University, and there are some differences among that student population. However, a limitation of this research is the narrow focus of the participant population. This study was not administered across the entire university nor was it given to any other doctoral program within the university. In addition, the responses were only self-reports and the actual performance of academic achievement was not measured. It was also noted by the research team that some of the survey questions were ambiguous to the population surveyed and that ambiguity may have affected their responses.

Future research surveys should be presented to people who are familiar with social media use and those who are not. In addition, future studies might benefit from a broader based student population in different colleges and different fields of study within a university or among several different universities.
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Appendix

Social Media Influence on Collaborative Learning Communities

Please read the research consent and accept to complete the survey:

We are seeking your assistance in the completion of a study to examine how the use of social media has influenced the development of a collaborative learning community within the cohort environment in Wilmington University’s Ed.D program.

We are four doctoral students in the OL track of Cohort 24 in the program. It is not the intent of this research study to show preference to one cohort over another or to present any cohort in a positive or negative manner but to compare the information received to assist with the descriptive and correlational analysis of the data collected.

The survey should take no more than 10 to 15 minutes to complete. It includes questions about your use of social media as a member of a cohort in the Ed.D program at Wilmington University. As well, we ask for some demographic information (e.g., age, marital status, cohort number, cohort section, and cohort location) so that we can discover findings and general traits of cohort groups.

No risks or discomforts are anticipated from taking part in this study. If you decide to quit at any time before you have finished the questionnaire, your answers will NOT be recorded. Your participation is voluntary; you are free to withdraw your participation from this study at any time. If you do not want to continue, you can simply leave this website. If you do not click on the “submit” button at the end of the survey, your answers and participation will not be recorded. Only the researchers will see your individual survey responses.

The results of the study will be used for scholarly purposes only. The results from the study may also be presented in educational settings and at professional conferences, and the aggregate results might be published in a professional journal. If you have concerns or questions about this study, please contact Natasha James-Waldon, J.D., MPS at natasha.r.james@wilmu.edu or Wilmington University, Doctorate of Education, Organizational Leadership Program Chairperson, Dr. Lynne Svenning at lynne.l.svenning@wilmu.edu.

The Human Subjects Review Committee (HRSC) of Wilmington University has approved the proposed research.

By beginning the survey, you acknowledge and accept that you have read this information and agree to participate in this research. We thank you in advance for your participation.
Please Select Accept to complete the survey *

- Accept
- Decline

1. Please tell us about yourself: Your cohort number *
   Choose

2. Your cohort track *
   Choose

3. Your campus location *
   Choose

4. Gender *
   - Male
   - Female
5. Which of the following best describes your current relationship status? *

- 1 = Married
- 2 = Widowed
- 3 = Divorced
- 4 = Separated
- 5 = In a domestic partnership or civil union
- 6 = Single, but cohabiting with a significant other
- 7 = Single, never married

6. Select the appropriate age range: *

- □ 23-34
- □ 35-44
- □ 45-54
- □ 55-64
- □ 65 or better
Do you use any of the following social media in your program? *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Media</th>
<th>Never use</th>
<th>Almost never</th>
<th>Occasionally/Sometimes</th>
<th>Almost every time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7. Facebook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Google+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Blackboard Collaborate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. WebEx/Training Center/GoToMeeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Wikis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Skype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. YouTube</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Instagram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 LinkedIn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Twitter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Other (e.g., Pinterest, Vinc, Tumblr, WhatsApp)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
18. Which device(s) do you use to access social media sites? (Select all that apply.) *

☐ Smartphone  1

☐ Tablet  2

☐ Desktop/Laptop Computer  3

☐ Other Wi-Fi enabled device (TV, Blu-Ray player, gaming system, etc.)  4

☐ Other  5

19. How many hours a week are you employed? *

☐ 0 hrs-I’m not working

☐ 1-9 hours a week

☐ 10-19 hours a week

☐ 20-29 hours a week

☐ 30-39 hours a week

☐ 40+ hours a week
20. Approximately how many hours a week do you use social media? *
   - 0 hrs - I'm not using Social Media
   - Less than an hour a week
   - 1-2 hours a week
   - 2-3 hours a week
   - 3-4 hours a week
   - 4+ hours a week

21. Approximately how many hours a week do you use social media for classwork or collaboration? *
   - 0 hrs - I'm not using Social Media
   - Less than an hour a week
   - 1-2 hours a week
   - 2-3 hours a week
   - 3-4 hours a week
   - 4+ hours a week
22. Given a choice, would you use social media for collaboration in your cohort learning? *

- [ ] Never 1
- [ ] Rarely 2
- [ ] Sometimes 3
- [ ] Often 4
- [ ] Always 5
Indicate your opinion about the following statements using the scale below: *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Social media is an effective tool to communicate with the members of your cohort.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Social media usage is an integral part of a collaborative learning communities</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Social media has had a direct impact on my grades</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Social media usage is an essential part of developing a collaborative learning communities</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Social media sites are an effective substitute for face-to-face communication</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Social media sites are a useful way to communicate information</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Social media sites are easy to use.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Social media sites make it easier to share work with team members</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Social media sites have increased our ability to work as a team.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Influence of Social Media on Collaborative Learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>32. Social media sites create learning opportunities for team members</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33. My use of social media as a collaborative tool has increased my access to information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34. In general, how satisfied are you with the current use of social media in your cohort group? *

- [ ] Very dissatisfied    1
- [ ] Generally dissatisfied    2
- [ ] Neither    3
- [ ] Generally satisfied    4
- [ ] Very satisfied    5
Indicate your opinion about the following statements using the scale below: *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35. Social Media allows me to share academic experiences.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Social Media assists me with understanding course material</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Social Media increases my engagement in the collaborative learning process.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Social Media is an effective way to communicate in a collaborative learning community.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Social networking tools (e.g. Facebook, Twitter, Google+) help me with learning</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. Collaborative learning would be impacted if social media was not utilized</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. Social media provides information that may not be as quickly accessible by other means</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
42. Social media has increased the amount of information available for collaborative learning communities

43. Social media is preferred over face-to-face for collaborative learning purposes

44. Social media is cost effective.

45. It would be difficult to maintain a collaborative learning community without the use of social media

46. Describe how social media provides information that enhances your learning as a doctoral student?

Your answer

47. Describe how the use of social media within your cohort has enhanced the development of the collaborative learning community. Please explain?

Your answer
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